CHAPTER 80 - FORMS

DISPATCH FORMS. All units will use appropriate forms as designated by this Mobilization Guide and by their Coordination Center.

RESOURCE ORDER FORM

See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 101

MOBILE FOOD & SHOWER SERVICE REQUEST FORM See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 103

PASSENGER AND CARGO MANIFEST FORM

See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 104

AIRCRAFT FLIGHT REQUEST/SCHEDULE FORM

See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 105

INFRARED AIRCRAFT SCANNER REQUEST FORM

See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 106

PREPAREDNESS/DETAIL REQUEST FORM

See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 109

• INCIDENT STATUS SUMMARY (ICS-209) FORM

See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 110

MONTHLY WILDLAND FIRE WEATHER/FIRE DANGER OUTLOOK FORM

See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 114

• WILDLAND FIRE ENTRAPMENT/FATALITY INITIAL REPORT FORM

See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 115

• DOCUMENTATION OF LENGTH OF ASSIGNMENT EXTENSION REQUIREMENTS FORM

See National Interagency Mobilization Guide, Page 117

• **SAFECOM FORM** See form and instructions at: https://www.safecom.gov/.

Copies of the following forms are provided in the subsequent pages:

• INTERAGENCY REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY FLIGHT RESTRICTION

http://www.airspacecoordination.org/coord/tfr request.pdf

DOCUMENTATION OF CONTACTS REQUESTING DECONFLICTION OF AIRSPACE BY THE

MILITARY See http://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/aircraft.php under Aviation Forms

• AIRSPACE BOUNDARY MANAGEMENT PLAN AND CHECKLIST

http://www.airspacecoordination.org

• GREAT BASIN INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAM EVALUATION

http://gacc.nifc.gov/gbcc/GBCG/Memos/gb_imt_evaluation_fillable.pdf

BUYING TEAM PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

REQUEST FOR A TEMPORARY FLIGHT RESTRICTION

| | | INEQUES | 1 10 | K A I LIVIE | OINE | MX I | | | | |
|--------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|---------------------|------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| DAT | E: | | | | | | | | res phone no | tification. |
| | | | | | | | | AX: | | |
| HIVIE | =: | | | | | | | | | |
| | ource | | | | | | | | | |
| Orde | er Num | ber: | | | | — | | | | |
| Req | uest Nu | ımber: A | | | | | 24 HR. | PHONE (No | Toll Free #s) _ | |
| Circ | cular | Degrees Minutes Second | | | nds if ur | navailab | le | | | |
| | (US NOT | LAT/LONG of C AM OFFICE FORMAT | | | | | | JS (NM) standard) | | |
| | | N/ | | | W | | | | 7 | |
| Pol NAVAI | ygon (l D (distanc | List perimeter points in clo e < 50 NM) - do not use N | ckwise (IDB or T- | order). For NES In VOR. (For lat/long | nput: g - Degr | Use th | e same l utes Seco | NAVAID if p | ossible for ea | ach point. List nearest |
| Point # | | Lat/Lon ddmmssN/ | _ | | | Point # | | ddı | Lat/Long for | |
| | | | | usau | | | | 8090 | 10012800 40440 | |
| 1 | | N | | | W | 5 | | | N | W |
| 2 | | N | | | W | 6 | | | N | W |
| 3 | | N | | | W | 7 | | | N | W |
| 4 | | N | | | w | 8 | | | N | W |
| Form | at: | SL: Only)ay? R Duration: XYMM Location of Incider | /IDDhl | umm to | XXI | MMDD | bbmm | | | |
| Agen | cv in C | Charge | | | | | Incide | ent Name | | |
| | | | | | | | Incident Name VHF-AM Air to Air Frequency | | | |
| | | ct the following Sp | | | | | | | | |
| 11113 W | m arre | or the following op | cciai c | oc Allopace. (| NON | , 101, | 117,17 | · 00/ | | |
| | | Th | is will | affect the foll | lowin | a Mil | itary Tra | aining Rou | ites: | 1 |
| R | oute | SEGMENT(S) | | SCHEDULIN | VG | | oute | | MENT(S) | SCHEDULING |
| | | | | ACTIVITY | | | | | | ACTIVITY |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| NOT | AM# | | | Time Issued | | | Date | , | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | i i iii e I i | i ix Gariceleu. | | && | | | _ ivehis | iced by | | |
| | | | | F | Feb 2 | 015 | | | | |

Approved by the Interagency Airspace Subcommittee Suggestions for improvements may be sent to Julie Stewart at j5stewar@blm.gov

| ≿ |
|-----------------------------|
| Ĭ |
| Ĭ |
| 뿐 |
| BY THE MILITARY |
| |
| AIRSP/ |
| 임 |
| 3 DECONFLICTION OF AIRSPACE |
| NFLI |
| IG DECC |
| Ĭ |
| REQUES. |
| REC |
| TACTS |
| ONT/ |
| S |
| z |
| 'ATIO |
| ÆNT |
| OCUN |
| 8 |

| | _ | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---|------|------|--|
| | | RESTRICTION | AND CONTACTS) | | | | |
| | REQUEST CLOSURE OF SEGMENTS OR RESTRIOCTION (eg. ALTITUDE ADJUSTMENT) OF THE FOLLOWING MTRs: | REMARKS/ | CONTACTS) | | | | |
| TRs) | MENT) OF TH | SI | (V/N) | | | | |
| I. MILITARY TRAINING ROUTES (MTRs) | TITUDE ADJUSTA | CONTACT | (DISPATCHER NAME) | | | | |
| TRAINING | RIOCTION (eg. AL | CONTACT | (SCHEDULER NAME) | , | | | |
| LITARY | OR REST | STED ON | POINT | | | | |
| <u>-</u> | SEGMENTS | ON REQUE | FROM | | | | |
| | T CLOSURE OF | DECONFLICTION REQUESTED ON | ROUTE | | | | |
| | REQUES | COMMERCIAL | NUMBER | | | | |
| | | | ACTIVITY | | | | |
| | | DATE | TIME | | | | |

| | FACILITY | RESTRICTION LIFTED (DATE/TIME AND CONTACTS) | |
|--|--|---|--|
| s, RAs, etc.) | RELAY INFORMATION ON REVERSE ("INTERAGENCY REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY FLIGHT RESTRICTION") TO THE SCHEDULIN AGENCY AND/OR MILITARY ATC FACILITY | REMARKS/ (DAILY CONTACTS) | |
| ACE (SUA) (MOA | Y FLIGHT RESTRICTION") | REQUEST RELAYED BY (DISPATCHER NAME) | |
| SPECIAL-USE AIRSPACE (SUA) (MOAs, RAs, etc.) | EQUEST FOR TEMPORAR | REQUEST RELAYED TO (SCHEDULER NAME) | |
| II. SP | ISE ("INTERAGENCY R | PHONE | |
| | INFORMATION ON REVER | SCHEDULING AGENCY OR ATC | |
| | RELAY | DATE / TIME | |

Great Basin Interagency - Airspace Boundary Management Plan and Checklist

<u>PURPOSE</u>: The requirement for increased management and coordination is due to the possibility of two or more agencies/cooperators conducting simultaneous, uncoordinated aviation operations within those areas which would unknowingly put the responding aerial operations within close proximity to another, placing aircraft and crews at risk. The purpose of this plan is to identify such boundaries and Initial Attack zones and provide means of communication, coordination, and airspace deconfliction within those areas.

Aerial operations on, or adjacent to agency/cooperator boundaries, and areas where a neighboring agency/cooperator provides fire suppression on lands administered by the adjoining agency/cooperator (mutual aid, shared, or exchanged Initial Attack areas or zones) require increased management and coordination.

GUIDELINES & PROCEDURES:

- A. An imaginary 10 mile wide neutral air corridor will center on agency/cooperator boundaries. The neutral air for mutual or exchanged Initial Attack areas or zones will encompass the whole zone plus 5 miles outside the zones boundaries.
- B. Any agency conducting aerial operations within a corridor or zone will immediately notify the adjoining agency/cooperator of such operations. This is accomplished to and from dispatch offices prior to the commencement of operations and when operations cease. Examples of aerial operations include recon, fire suppression missions, special aviation projects, resource management flights, helicopter logging, etc.
- C. Agency aircraft will establish contact on the assigned air-to-air frequency. Should contact not be made, the contact air-to-air frequency will be Air Guard 168.625 Mhz. This frequency will be designated for initial contact and coordination between converging aircraft within corridors and zones only when contact is not otherwise possible. Because this frequency is programmed as the default receive frequency in all agency and contract aircraft FM radios and is intended for initial contact and emergency purposes only, it is imperative that this frequency not be utilized for tactical or logistical purposes. If Guard is used to establish initial contact, aircraft are expected to switch to an alternative frequency (i.e. the local or incident air-to-air frequency, etc.).
- D. When aircraft from two or more adjoining agencies/cooperators are being committed to the same general area of a corridor/zone:
 - Considering complexity, dispatch an Air Tactical Group Supervisor (ATGS).
 - Approaching aircraft will establish air-to-air frequency contact prior to entering the area.
 - · Aircraft rely upon dispatch centers for current relevant information. Therefore, coordination between dispatch centers must occur prior to dispatch.
- E. When an aircraft is dispatched to an incident within a corridor/zone and no other aircraft are known to be present:
 - The approaching aircraft will attempt to establish contact on the assigned frequency. If unsuccessful, Guard frequency 168.625 will be utilized.
 - Perform a high level recon prior to low-level activities.
 - Practice see and avoid.
 - The dispatch initiating the flight will notify and coordinate with the adjoining agency/ cooperator dispatch.
- F. Temporary Flight Restrictions (TFRs) within or in close proximity to corridors/zones will be coordinated and information shared between the responsible dispatch offices.

Great Basin Airspace Boundary Checklist (Example):

| • ' | |
|--|--|
| • • | |
| Latitude x Longitude: | |
| | X |
| VOR Distance and Bearing: | |
| Aircraft Responding: | |
| Tail # | Departure Point |
| Air Attack | |
| Lead | |
| Air Tankers | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Smokejumpers | |
| Is there a TEP in place or requested? | Ves No |
| Rad | nter Point: Latnm |
| If yes, what are the parameters? Cer Rac Alti Radio Frequencies: Flight Following Frequency: Air to Air (VHF-AM): | nter Point: Lat Long |
| If yes, what are the parameters? Cer Rac Altir Radio Frequencies: Flight Following Frequency: Air to Air (VHF-AM): Air to Ground (VHF-FM): | nter Point: Lat Long dius:nm itude:MSL ecial Use Airspaces near the incident? Yes No? n notified? Yes No |
| If yes, what are the parameters? Cer Rad Alticon Radio Frequencies: Flight Following Frequency: Air to Air (VHF-AM): Air to Ground (VHF-FM): Are there military training routes or Spound are the Routes or SUA Involved? If yes, has the Scheduling Activity beer | nter Point: Lat Long dius:nm itude:MSL ecial Use Airspaces near the incident? Yes No? n notified? Yes No es No |
| If yes, what are the parameters? Cer Rad Alticon Radio Frequencies: Flight Following Frequency: Air to Air (VHF-AM): Air to Ground (VHF-FM): Are there military training routes or Spowhat are the Routes or SUA Involved? If yes, has the Scheduling Activity beer Have Flight Crews been notified? Yes | nter Point: Lat Long dius:nm itude:MSL ecial Use Airspaces near the incident? Yes No? n notified? Yes No es No : CHECK ALL APPLICABLE and FAX |
| If yes, what are the parameters? Cer Rad Alticon Radio Frequencies: Flight Following Frequency: Air to Air (VHF-AM): Air to Ground (VHF-FM): Are there military training routes or Spu What are the Routes or SUA Involved? If yes, has the Scheduling Activity beer Have Flight Crews been notified? Ye Adjacent Jurisdiction Dispatch Centers: | nter Point: Lat |

Chapter 80 **Forms**

Great Basin Incident Management Team Evaluation

| Team IC | Incident Type | |
|------------------------|---------------|--|
| Incident | Incident | |
| Name | Number | |
| Assignment | Total | |
| Dates | Acres | |
| Host | Evaluation | |
| Agency | Date | |
| Administrative Unit | Sub-Unit | |

COMPLETE THE FOLLOW EVALUATION NARRATIVES AND RATING FOR EACH QUESTION

| (0 – | did not a | chieve, 5 – exc | elled) | | | | |
|--------|--|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 1. | How well did the Team accomplish the objectives described in the Wildland Fire Situation Analysis (WFSA) or Wildland Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) the Delegation of Authority, and the Agency Administrator Briefing? | | | | | | |
| Circ | cle one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| (Expla | , and the second | | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | ? Did the team f and documente | | |
| ۷. | 7 | trator ie; invoice | (5) | | and documente | d for the Agenc | . y s |
| Circ | cle one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3. | How did | | onstrate sensitiv | rity to resource | limits/constrain | ts and environn | nental |
| Circ | cle one | 0 | 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| (Expla | in) | | | | | | |

Great Basin Incident Management Team Evaluation

| 4. | How we | ll did the Team o | deal with sensiti | ve political and | social concerns | ? | |
|-------------|--|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Circ | le one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| (Explai | <i>-</i> | | | | | | |
| 5. | how the | Team professio y managed the t ing the incident | total incident? I | How did the Tea | | | |
| Circ | le one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 6. | effective | II did the Team a ? | anticipate and re | espond to chang | ging conditions, | was the respons | se timely and |
| 3325000 000 | le one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| (Explai | - | II did the Team _I | place the prope | r emphasis on sa | afety? | | |
| - | le one | ,- | | | | | - |
| (Explai | AND AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 8. | Did the | Team activate a | nd manage the i | mobilization/de | mobilization in | a timely and cos | t effective |
| Circ | le one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| (Explai | | - | - | _ | - | | |

Great Basin Incident Management Team Evaluation

| 9. | How we | ll did the Team | use local resour | ces, trainees, an | d closest availal | ble forces? | |
|----------------|---------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| Circ | le one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| (Expla | in) | | | | | | |
| 10. | l | | y the incident ag ? How were tho | | | 40-00 | re agreement |
| Circ | le one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| (Expla | | IC engaged and | in charge of the | e Team and the | Incident? How | well did the IC fo | unction and |
| 11. | operate | as a leader? | | | | and an experience of the control of | to the published other in the following state of the second of the secon |
| Circ (Expla | le one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 12. | How tim | ely was the IC i | n assuming resp | onsibility for the | e incident and ir | nitiating action? | |
| Circ | le one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| (Expla | in) | | | | | | |
| 13. | How did | the IC show sin | cere concern an | d empathy for t | he hosting unit | and local condit | ions? |
| | le one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| (Expla | in) | | | | | | |

Great Basin Incident Management Team Evaluation

| 14. | per unit | operating guid nents, coopera | istrator or design lelines? Example tors given approp | : AD time comp | lete per payme | nt cent | ter and ag | ency |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------|---|---------|--|---|
| Circ | cle one | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | ē | 4 | 5 |
| (Expla | in) | | | | | | | |
| 15. | Other co | mments: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| SWINDSTEE BEING | | | s may provide ad | | | | AT THE OWN REPORTS OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE OWN AND | ELECTRIC CAPACITY PROGRAMMENT CONTROL |
| | | | 90 days following | | - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | | | |
| | nent cente nent feed | | usiness specialists | s on follow-up e | valuation ques | tions 2 | , 10, 14 ar | nd any other |
| | - | strator or | | | | | | |
| Ager | icy Repres | entative: | | | | ate: | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Incid | ent Comn | ander: | | | Г | ate: | | |

BUYING TEAM PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Instruction: The Line Officer or Designated Agency Representative completes the performance evaluation prior to release of the Buying Team. The Buying Team Leader shall forward a copy of the rating to the incident agency incident business representative.

| Inci | dent Name: | | Date: | |
|------|--|-----------------------|--|------------------------|
| Inci | dent Agency: | | | |
| Buy | ving Team Name: | | | |
| Eva | lluator's Name & Position | 1: | | |
| Eva | lluator's Phone No.: | _ | <u> </u> | |
| 1) | Was the Leader an effect Above Satisfactory | - | ne Buying Team and its activities? Below Satisfactory | |
| 2) | Was it obvious that the L Above Satisfactory | | | |
| 3) | Did the Buying Team ad Above Satisfactory | | nt Business Management Handbook Below Satisfactory | rules and policy? |
| 4) | Did the Buying Team evodid the team select the s Above Satisfactory | ource best meeting | lity of goods and services, prices, and gincident needs? Below Satisfactory | nd delivery costs, and |
| 5) | · | · | nagement decisions and provide do | ocumentation to |
| | Above Satisfactory | Satisfactory | Below Satisfactory | |
| 6) | Was the Buying Team particle Above Satisfactory | | goods and services for the incident Below Satisfactory | ? |
| 7) | Was the Buying Team so local land owners? | ensitive to local co | mmunity issues, local businesses, lo | ocal contractors, and |
| | Above Satisfactory | Satisfactory | Below Satisfactory | |
| 8) | Was the Buying Team educated Use Agreements? | ffective in negotiati | ing and issuing EERA's and Emerge | ency Facilities and |
| | Above Satisfactory | Satisfactory | Below Satisfactory | |
| 9) | How well did the Buying | Team manage acc | | |
| | Above Satisfactory | Satisfactory | Below Satisfactory | |
| 10) | How was the Buying Tea | am's performance | in settling claims (if applicable)? | |
| | Above Satisfactory | Satisfactory | Below Satisfactory | |
| 11) | How was the Buying Tea additional incidents and/ | | cipate and respond to changing cond | ditions, such as |
| | Above Satisfactory | Satisfactory | Below Satisfactory | |

| 12) | How was the Buying Tea Above Satisfactory | m's coordination an Satisfactory | d cooperation with t Below Satisfactory | he incident agency? |
|------|---|---|--|--------------------------|
| 13) | How was the Buying Tea Above Satisfactory | m's coordination an Satisfactory | d cooperation with e | expanded dispatch? |
| 14) | How was the Buying Tea Above Satisfactory | m's coordination an Satisfactory | d cooperation with t Below Satisfactory | he IMT? |
| 15) | How was the Buying Tea Above Satisfactory | m's coordination an Satisfactory | d cooperation with t Below Satisfactory | he Agency Rep. or IBA? |
| 16) | Was the Buying Team's Above Satisfactory | documentation pack <i>Satisfactory</i> | age complete and s Below Satisfactory | ubmitted appropriately? |
| 17) | Did the Buying Team pre Above Satisfactory | sent a positive attitu Satisfactory | ude and work in a pr Below Satisfactory | ofessional manner? |
| 18) | What one thing would yo | u recommend for th | is Buying Team to c | oncentrate on improving? |
| 19) | Describe how the Buying | Team exceeded yo | our expectations. | |
| | s evaluation has been dis presentative. | cussed by and betw | een the: Line Office | r or Designated Agency |
| Sigr | nature: | | | Date: |
| Buy | ing Team Leader Signatu | ıre: | | Date: |

For any Below Satisfactory rating, please include an explanation.

Forward copy of evaluation to your agency incident business representative.

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY